



AF/3739

Patent  
Docket No. 2024728-7034064002  
(02-05912)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Robert S. Behl, et al.

Serial No.: 09/832,497

Filed: April 11, 2001

For: APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR  
TREATING TUMORS NEAR THE  
SURFACE OF AN ORGAN

Group Art Unit: 3739

Confirmation No.: 1252

Examiner: Vrettakos, Peter J.

RECEIVED

OCT 22 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

RESPONSE AFTER FINAL TRANSMITTAL

M/S: AF  
Commissioner for Patents  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450


Sir,

Transmitted herewith is Response (4 pages) to Office Action, mailed August 20, 2003, for the above-identified application.

Respectfully submitted,  
BIGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP

Dated: 17 October 2003

By:

  
Pamela Merkadeau  
Reg. No. 53,318

BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP  
Three Embarcadero, Suite 1800  
San Francisco, CA 94111-4067  
(650) 849-4400

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING  
(37 C.F.R. §1.8)


I hereby certify that this paper (along with any referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposit with the United States Postal Services on the date shown below with sufficient postage as "First Class Mail" to addressee in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

October 17, 2003

Date of deposit

Maritza D. Kidd

Name of Person transmitting Paper

  
Signature of Person depositing Paper

2024728-7034064002



**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re the Application of:

Robert S. Béhl, et al.

**Serial No.: 09/832,497**

**Filed:** April 11, 2001

For: APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR  
TREATING TUMORS NEAR THE  
SURFACE OF AN ORGAN

**Group Art Unit: 3739**

**Examiner:** Vrettakos, Peter J.

RECEIVED  
OCT 22 2003  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

## **RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION**

MAIL STOP AF  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In the Office Action mailed August 20, 2003, claims 32-33, 39-40, and 45-49 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,855,576 (hereinafter LeVeen et al.) and claims 34 and 50-54 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over LeVeen et al. Applicants respectfully traverse the rejections.